(SOLE INVENTOR)
Atty. Docket No.: END920030025

Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that::

only or	ne name is listed below) or	an original, first and joint inver	ntor (if plural names are listed bel	e I am the original, first and sole inventor (i low) of the subject matter which is claimed MIZING DATABASE PERFORMANCE
the sp	ecification of which (check			
X	is attached	hereto.		
	was filed on	a	s Application Serial No.	and was amended on
	by state that I have review amendment referred to a		s of the above-identified specific	cation, including the claims, as amended
	owledge the duty to disclos al Regulations, §1.56.	e information which is materia	I to the patentability of this applica	ation in accordance with Title 37, Code of
certific		also identified below any foreig		n application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before
	Prior Foreign Application(s):			
	Number NONE	Country	Day/Month/Year	Priority Claimed
subject first pa applica	it matter of each of the clair tragraph of Title 35, United ation as defined in Title 37,	ns of this application is not dis d States Code, §112, I acknow	closed in the prior United States a rledge the duty to disclose inform	cation(s) listed below and, insofar as the application in the manner provided by the nation material to the patentability of this the filing date of the prior application and
	Prior U.S. Applications	: :		
	Serial No. NONE	Filing Date		Status
believe punish	ed to be true; and further the able by fine or imprisonn	at these statements were mad	le with the knowledge that willful t 1001 of Title 18 of the United S	ments made on information and belief are false statements and the like so made are States Code and that such willful false
Samoo 36,493 Redmo 47,558 Reg. N and/or corresp	dovitz, Reg. No. 31,297, W by, Joseph Petrokaitis, Regond, Jr., Reg. No 18,753, o charles J. Gross, Reg. No. 41,140, Richard S. Mey agents to prosecute this pondence should be direct	illiam E. Schiesser, Reg. No. 5 No. 38,995, John E. Hoel, 1 Andrew M. Calderon, Reg. No No. 52,972, Scott J. Hawranek er, Reg. No. 32,541, Hae-Cha application and transact all t	i3,559, William H. Steinberg, Reg Reg. No. 26,279, Christopher A . 38,093, S. Luke Anderson, Reg ., Reg. No. 52,411, Maryam M. Ip n Park, Reg. No. 50,114 and Mar pusiness in the Patent and Trad	n R. Pivnichny, Reg. No. 43,001, Arthur J. No. 28,540, JoAnn K. Crockatt, Reg. No. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, Joseph C. g. No. 44,507, Scott A. Felder, Reg. No. pakchi, Reg. No. 51,835, Philip D. Lane, k J. Young, Reg. No. 39,436 as attorneys lemark Office connected therewith. All 0, McLean, Virginia, 22102. Phone calls
(1)	Inventor: Sanjay Gupta			
	Signature:	Sanjaylights	•	08/07/03
	Residence: 37C Hudson Harbour Drive, Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			

Citizenship: India Post Office Address: Same as above

Express Mail Label No.: EJ299354157US
Date Mailed: 30003

(SOLE INVENTOR)
Atty. Docket No.: END920030025

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.